#### OFF-state TDDB in High-Voltage GaN MIS-HEMTs

#### Shireen Warnock and Jesús A. del Alamo

Microsystems Technology Laboratories (MTL) Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)

## Purpose

- Further understanding of time-dependent dielectric breakdown (TDDB) in GaN MIS-HEMTs
- Explore TDDB under high-voltage OFF-state conditions: most common state in the operation of a power switching transistor

## Outline

- Motivation & Challenges
- Initial Results & Breakdown Statistics
- Ultraviolet Light During Recovery & Stress
- Conclusions

#### Motivation

GaN Field-Effect Transistors (FETs) promising for high-voltage power applications  $\rightarrow$  more efficient & smaller footprint





#### Consumer Electronics



Inverse piezoelectric effect J. A. del Alamo, MR 2009







#### Time-Dependent Dielectric Breakdown

- High gate bias → defect generation → catastrophic oxide breakdown
- Often dictates lifetime of chip



#### **TDDB in GaN MIS-HEMTs**



- Classic TDDB observed
- But: studies to date all on positive gate stress TDDB
   → More relevant for D-mode devices: TDDB under OFF-state

#### **OFF-state Stress**

- Negative gate bias turns FET off; high bias on drain
- Relevant operational condition for GaN power circuits



#### **OFF-state Stress**

- Negative gate bias turns FET off; high bias on drain
- Relevant operational condition for GaN power circuits
- Electrostatics more complicated than under positive gate stress



- TDDB failure can result from peak in electric field during OFF-state
- Study devices with no field plates for simplicity

# **Dielectric Reliability in GaN FETs**

AlGaN/GaN metal-insulator-semiconductor high electron mobility transistors (MIS-HEMTs)



#### Goals of this work:

- What does TDDB look like in the OFF-state stress condition?
- How do transient instabilities (current collapse,  $V_T$  shift) affect our ability to observe TDDB?

#### Initial Results & Breakdown Statistics

# GaN MIS-HEMTs for TDDB Study

- GaN MIS-HEMTs from industry collaboration: depletion-mode
- Gate stack has multiple layers & interfaces
  - → Uncertain electric field distribution
  - $\rightarrow$  Many trapping sites
- Complex dynamics involved

   → Unstable and fast changing V<sub>T</sub>
   → Current collapse





 $I_{G}=I_{D} \rightarrow$  damage at drain-side edge of gate







Pause stress every 50 s and characterize device



- Multiple jumps in stress I<sub>G</sub> before final breakdown
  - Corresponds to increase in I-V OFF-state leakage
- Significant current collapse

#### **OFF-state Step-Stress**

Step  $V_{DS,stress}$ :  $\Delta V_{DS,stress}$ =5 V, each 100 s/step



- Moderate stress: I<sub>G</sub>=I<sub>D</sub> decreases during stress step → trapping
- High stress:  $I_G$  increases  $\rightarrow$  stress-induced leakage current (SILC)

#### **OFF-state Step-Stress**

Transfer characteristics in between stress steps



• Very large  $V_T$  shifts (first positive, then negative) and hysteresis

• Progressive increase in current collapse for increasing V<sub>DS,stress</sub>

#### **OFF-state TDDB Statistics**

#### Time to final breakdown (I<sub>G</sub>=1 mA)



- Statistics do not follow Weibull distribution
- Spread over many orders of magnitude

# Trapping at Drain-end of Channel

In OFF-state, large electric field peak at drain-end of channel

 $\rightarrow$  Severe electron trapping



- Trapping affects electric field
- Depends on trap concentration, location, etc.
   → highly random

Ultraviolet Light During Recovery & Stress

# UV Light to Mitigate Trapping

Need to separate current collapse,  $V_T$  shift from permanent degradation



- UV light very effective for de-trapping in GaN
- Choose 3.5 eV for TDDB study

#### **OFF-state Step-Stress: Recovery with UV**

- Step  $V_{DS,stress}$ :  $\Delta V_{DS,stress}$ =5 V, each 100 s
- Before characterization, shine 3.5 eV UV light for 5 minutes after each stress step



• No UV during stress  $\rightarrow$  expect unchanged stress leakage current

#### **OFF-state Step-Stress: Recovery with UV**

#### Transfer characteristics in between stress steps



- Current collapse mitigated
- No positive  $V_T$  shift, only negative  $\rightarrow$  NBTI

#### **OFF-state Step-Stress: Stress with UV**

- Step  $V_{DS,stress}$ :  $\Delta V_{DS,stress}$ =5 V, each step 100 s/step
- 3.5 eV UV light during stress, and 5 minutes after (to eliminate residual trapping)



#### **OFF-state Step-Stress: Stress with UV**

• Step  $V_{DS,stress}$ :  $\Delta V_{DS,stress}$ =5 V, 100 s/step



- No evidence of trapping for moderate V<sub>DS,stress</sub>
- Clear appearance of SILC at higher voltage
- Breakdown at 60 V compared to ~110 V for step-stress in dark

#### **OFF-state Step-Stress: Stress with UV**

#### Transfer characteristics in between stress steps



- Current collapse entirely mitigated
- Negative  $V_T$  shift  $\rightarrow$  NBTI

#### **OFF-state Constant-Voltage TDDB Statistics**

Compare TDDB in the dark and with 3.5 eV UV during stress



- UV statistics now follow Weibull distribution
- Breakdown occurs sooner, even with V<sub>DS,stress</sub> ~25% less
- UV mitigates trapping ightarrow electric field  $\uparrow$

# Conclusions

- Investigated OFF-state TDDB in GaN MIS-HEMTs for the first time
- Without UV light:
  - Current collapse,  $V_T$  shift
  - Cannot separate transient and permanent effects
  - Non-Weibull breakdown statistics
- With UV light:
  - Current collapse completed mitigated
  - Progressive negative  $V_T$  shift  $\rightarrow$  NBTI
  - UV de-trapping yields higher electric field → accelerated breakdown
  - Breakdown follows Weibull distribution
- Next work: estimate electric field to develop lifetime model

#### Acknowledgements





#### Dr. José Jiménez, IRPS 2017 mentor

#### Questions?